Appendix B

Councillor Questions / Comments	Officer Response
	·
Vehicles delivering to the Co-op on Brooklands Avenue have a loading bay on Brooklands Avenue. This was moved around the corner a few years ago from Crimicar Lane due to traffic hazard. The bottom of Crimicar Lane is a tight corner and used by a frequent bus service and parked lorries made it very difficult for buses to pass. When the lorries stop to unload in the marked bay on Brooklands Ave they usually turn around by going up Brooklands Avenue and turning at Whitfield Road. Under the proposals I am not sure where they would be expected to turn. I do not want to return to having the lorries	The position of the start of the weight restriction would be at the junction with Brookhouse Hill, therefore the current loading area would be within the restricted area. This would mean that deliveries to the Co-op would be unaffected as the vehicles would have legitimate reason to enter the restricted area and consequently their route away would not be affected. If the restriction didn't start at the location mentioned this could affect the current protocol you describe as the side roads off Brooklands Avenue, including Whitfield Road, would be included in the restriction and technically vehicles would be in contravention of the restriction if they used the side roads off Brooklands Avenue to turn round or leave the
unloading on Crimicar Lane. There is already a 7.5 ton restriction on Lodge Lane, not shown on the map.	area. The existing 7.5 tonne weight restriction has been added to the Traffic regulation Order location plan shown in Appendix A.
The proposed restriction on Blackbrook Rd commences part way along. The only diversionary route then is Rochester Rd which can be heavily parked. I think all of Blackbrook Rd should be restricted.	Weight restriction information signs are to be placed at the junction of Blackbrook Road and Redmires Road to warn of the restriction. Restricting the whole of Blackbrook Road would result in additional illuminated signs on Rochester Road, Rochester Drive and additional weight restriction information signs on Peterborough Road and Worcester Road increasing the cost of the scheme and ongoing maintenance and service costs.
The proposed restriction on Redmires road commences at the bus turnaround. While this is a good place to place a restriction sign, I can see no reason why HGVs would need to access this part of the area, except "for access". I therefore suggest the restricted zone on Redmires Rd commences at the junction with Crimicar Lane. Worcester Rd and Worcester Dr should also be in the zone as they are link roads between Crimicar lane and Redmires Rd. Taking the above three points together I think the zone should include all of Blackbrooks, Lodge Lane, Worcesters, Peterboroughs, Rochesters and Redmires Rd from Crimicar lane junction. This will ensure that HGVs will always make the turn	The turn round point was chosen as a location that HGV's could safely turn around. Restricting Redmires Road from its junction with Crimicar Lane and including Worcester Drive and Worcester Road was felt to be overly restrictive and would incur additional illuminated signs thereby increasing the overall costs of the scheme. It could also result in drivers carrying out unsafe turning manoeuvres or driving along unrestricted residential roads nearby. Restricting at that point would also bring the commercial premises on Rochester Road into the restricted area which would allow servicing vehicles to legitimately continue through the rest of the area. The aim was not to restrict commercial premises on the fringes of the area if possible to limit the number of HGV's that could then legitimately

at Crimicar Lane and Redmires Rd and so	continue through the area.
keep out of difficulty.	
I have concern over the turning from	Brooklands Avenue, Brooklands Crescent,
Brookhouse Hill into Crimicar Lane, which	Whiteley Lane and Moorcroft Road were
is a tight corner. This is navigable by buses,	included in the restriction to keep through traffic
but longer vehicles may have difficulty here.	to what was considered the most suitable route
You could consider taking the following	through the area to keep quieter residential
roads out of the zone to facilitate turning of	roads as free of HGV traffic as possible and also
HGVs: Brooklands Avenue, Brooklands	to dissuade drivers from "chancing" going
Crescent, part of Whitely lane, Moorcroft	through the area. Excluding these roads would
Rd.	increase the number of illuminated restriction
	signs needed overall, which would increase the
	cost of the scheme. However I can't necessarily
	disagree with the comment about the turn from
	Brookhouse Hill into Crimicar Lane however we
	have not had any negative comments about the
	route from the FTA or any other HGV body. I
	would therefore recommend that the junction be
	monitored after implementation to assess
	whether any problems materialise.
The restriction on Ringinglow Rd	Information signs are to be placed at the junction
commences at a point where there is no	with Bents Road warning of the restriction on
diversionary route. I suggest that it should	Ringinglow Road. It was felt that the actual
commence at the junction with Bents Drive.	restriction should start near common lane so that
	any vehicle's servicing the area prior to that point
	wouldn't then be allowed to continue through the
	rest of Mayfield Valley, thereby reducing overall
	HGV numbers in the area.
The restriction on Trapp lane commences	Trap Lane is an existing no through road
at a point where the only diversionary route	westwards from its junction with Muskoka Drive
is Muskoka Drive, which may be heavily	and that section is included for technical
parked. I suggest that all of Trapp Lane and	enforcement and completeness of the TRO.
Muskoka Drive should be in the restricted	Including the whole of Trap Lane and Muskoka
zone.	Drive would require additional illuminated weight
	restriction signs on Ringinglow Road at junctions
	with both roads, at the junction with Bents Green
	Avenue and the link road between Trap Lane
	and Bents Green Road, which would significantly
	increase the overall cost of the scheme.